



Mónica Antoñanzas Basa

Hospital Clínico San Carlos









Decision









Decision







Decision









Tratamiento a la progresion





Gec Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study. Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified



Post 3rd G: MET copy number ≥ 10

EGFR mutation: (ex19del vs L858R vs others)

nts: PFS by IRC, ORR, DCR, ety

Gecr Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study. Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified



Progression-free Survival: Investigator



ITT population



The predefined statistical significance thresholds for the primary endpoint were met in both the prior 1st /2nd G EGFR-TKI-treated and ITT populations.



Gecp Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study. Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified





Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study (China). Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified

ORR combination 58%



	Savo-Osi N=106	Chemo N=105	Stratified OR (95% CI)	
ORR, % (95% CI)	58 (49-68)	34 (25-44)	2.74 (1.50-4.98) <i>p</i> =0.0004	
DCR, % (95% CI)	89 (81-94)	67 (57-76)	3.98 (1.81-8.82) <i>p</i> =0.0001	
Median DoR, month (95% CI)	8.4 (5.9-11.1)	3.2 (2.8-4.2)	-	



Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study (China). Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified

ORR combination 58%



	Savo-Osi N=106	Chemo N=105	Stratified OR (95% CI)
ORR, % (95% CI)	58 (49-68)	34 (25-44)	2.74 (1.50-4.98) <i>p</i> =0.0004
DCR, % (95% CI)	89 (81-94)	67 (57-76)	3.98 (1.81-8.82) <i>p</i> =0.0001
Median DoR, month (95% Cl)	8.4 (5.9-11.1)	3.2 (2.8-4.2)	



Gecp

lung cance research

Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study (China). Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified

ORR combination 58%



	Savo-Osi N=106	Chemo N=105	Stratified OR (95% CI)
ORR, % (95% CI)	58 (49-68)	34 (25-44)	2.74 (1.50-4.98) <i>p</i> =0.0004
DCR, % (95% CI)	89 (81-94)	67 (57-76)	3.98 (1.81-8.82) <i>p</i> =0.0001
Median DoR, month (95% CI)	8.4 (5.9-11.1)	3.2 (2.8-4.2)	-



Patients (%)

Gec

lung canc research

Efectos adversos gastrointestinales y edema periferico

Oral Abstract 8505. SACHI study (China). Phase 3 Savolitinib + Osimertinib vs Chemo in MET amplified

ORR combination 58%



	Savo-Osi N=106	Chemo N=105	Stratified OR (95% CI)
ORR, % (95% CI)	58 (49-68)	34 (25-44)	2.74 (1.50-4.98) <i>p</i> =0.0004
DCR, % (95% CI)	89 (81-94)	67 (57-76)	3.98 (1.81-8.82) <i>p</i> =0.0001
Median DoR, month (95% CI)	8.4 (5.9-11.1)	3.2 (2.8-4.2)	-



Gec

lung cance research

Efectos adversos gastrointestinales y edema periferico

	Savo- Osi N=106	Chemo N=105
Events, n (%)	39 (37)	45 (43)
mOS (95% Cl), months	22.9 (16.8, NE)	17.7 (14.9, 26.3)
Unstratified HR (95% CI)	0.84 (0.55, 1.29)	

Rapid oral Abstract 8513. SAVANNAH . Phase II Osi + Savolitinib 300mg vs Savolitinib





	Population
Primary endpoint: ORR (investigator assessment) [⊪]	MET IHC3+/≥90% and / or FISH10+ status after PD on first-line osimertinib (<u>primary efficacy population; n=80</u>)
	MET IHC3+/≥50% and / or FISH5+ status after PD on osimertin



Rapid oral Abstract 8513. SAVANNAH . Phase II Osi + Savolitinib 300mg vs Savolitinib

Best percentage change from baseline in target lesion size

0





	Population
Primary endpoint: ORR (investigator assessment) [⊪]	MET IHC3+/290% and / or FISH10+ status after PD on first-line osimertinib (<u>primary efficacy population; n=80</u>)
	MET IHC3+/≥50% and / or FISH5+ status after PD on osimertinib

Confirmed ORR and median DoR were higher with savolitinib + osimertinib versus savolitinib + placebo; ٠ ORR and median DoR were similar when assessed by BICR or by investigator

	BICR-assessed		Investigator-assessed	
	Savolitinib + osimertinib (n=48)	Savolitinib + placebo (n=25)	Savolitinib + osimertinib (n=48)	Savolitinib + placebo (n=25)
Confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	58 (43, 72)	16 (5, 36)	54 (39, 69)	24 (9, 45)
	(n=28)	(n=4)	(n=26)	(n=6)
Median DoR, months (95% CI)	11.8 (6.0, NC)	4.5 (2.6, NC)	8.0 (4.9, 11.7)	4.2 (2.6, NC)
Median time to onset of response, weeks (IQR)	6.0 (5.7-6.2)	6.1 (5.8–6.3)	6.1 (6.0-7.0)	6.1 (5.4–6.3)





Rapid oral Abstract 8513. SAVANNAH . Phase II Osi + Savolitinib 300mg vs Savolitinib

CNS efficacy by CNS BICR in patients with CNS metastases at baseline

• 14 patients in the savolitinib + osimertinib group and 4 patients in the savolitinib + placebo group had ≥1 measurable and / or non-measurable CNS lesion by CNS BICR at baseline

Savolitinib + osimertinib (n=14)	Savolitinib + placebo (n=4)	
6 (43)	1 (25)	
2 (14)	0	
4 (29)	1 (25)	
8 (57)	3 (75)	
7 (50)	1 (25)	
1 (7)	1 (25)	
0	1 (25)	
43 (18, 71)	25 (1, 81)	
NR (6.0, NC)	6.9 (NC, NC)	
5 (36)	2 (50)	
5.8 (1.6, 16.6)	2.1 (0.0, 8.5)	
	(n=14) 6 (43) 2 (14) 4 (29) 8 (57) 7 (50) 1 (7) 0 43 (18, 71) NR (6.0, NC) 5 (36)	





Rapid oral Abstract 8513. SAVANNAH . Phase II Osi + Savolitinib 300mg vs Savolitinib

CNS efficacy by CNS BICR in patients with CNS metastases at baseline

• 14 patients in the savolitinib + osimertinib group and 4 patients in the savolitinib + placebo group had ≥1 measurable and / or non-measurable CNS lesion by CNS BICR at baseline

	Savolitinib + osimertinib (n=14)	Savolitinib + placebo (n=4)	
CNS best objective response, n (%)			
Response	6 (43)	1 (25)	
Complete response	2 (14)	0	
Partial response	4 (29)	1 (25)	
Non-response	8 (57)	3 (75)	
Stable disease	7 (50)	1 (25)	
Progressive disease	1 (7)	1 (25)	
Not evaluable	0	1 (25)	
CNS confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	43 (18, 71)	25 (1, 81)	
CNS median DoR, months, (95% CI)*	NR (6.0, NC)	6.9 (NC, NC)	
CNS PFS events, n (%)	5 (36)	2 (50)	
Median follow up for CNS PFS, months (95% CI)	5.8 (1.6, 16.6)	2.1 (0.0, 8.5)	

Median	PFS,	months	(95%	CI)
--------	------	--------	------	-----

Savolitinib + osimertinib	8.3 (5.8, 15.1)
Savolitinib + placebo	3.6 (1.4, 5.7)

PFS HR (95% CI): 0.27 (0.13, 0.57)

PFS events / patients Savolitinib + osimertinib 26 / 48 (54% maturity) Savolitinib + placebo 14 / 25 (56% maturity)



Rapid oral Abstract 8513. SAVANNAH . Phase II Osi + Savolitinib 300mg vs Savolitinib

CNS efficacy by CNS BICR in patients with CNS metastases at baseline

• 14 patients in the savolitinib + osimertinib group and 4 patients in the savolitinib + placebo group had ≥1 measurable and / or non-measurable CNS lesion by CNS BICR at baseline

	Savolitinib + osimertinib (n=14)	Savolitinib + placebo (n=4)	
CNS best objective response, n (%)			
Response	6 (43)	1 (25)	
Complete response	2 (14)	0	
Partial response	4 (29)	1 (25)	
Non-response	8 (57)	3 (75)	
Stable disease	7 (50)	1 (25)	
Progressive disease	1 (7)	1 (25)	
Not evaluable	0	1 (25)	
CNS confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	43 (18, 71)	25 (1, 81)	
CNS median DoR, months, (95% CI)*	NR (6.0, NC)	6.9 (NC, NC)	
CNS PFS events, n (%)	5 (36)	2 (50)	
Median follow up for CNS PFS, months (95% CI)	5.8 (1.6, 16.6)	2.1 (0.0, 8.5)	

Median	PFS,	months	(95% CI)	
--------	------	--------	----------	--

Savolitinib + osimertinib	8.3 (5.8, 15.1)
Savolitinib + placebo	3.6 (1.4, 5.7)

PFS HR (95% CI): 0.27 (0.13, 0.57)

PFS events / patients Savolitinib + osimertinib 26 / 48 (54% maturity) Savolitinib + placebo 14 / 25 (56% maturity)

Latest efficacy findings from SAVANNAH suggest that targeting both EGFR and MET alterations in MET-mediated resistance to osimertinib is key for systemic and intracranial activity and support further investigation of savolitinib + osimertinib, including CNS activity, in the Phase 3 SAFFRON study

EGFR. Savolitinib



Name	Design	Ν	Inclusion criteria	Endpoints	Results
SACHI	Phase 3 study of Osimertinib + savoltinib vs chemo	211	EGFRm, PD on 1 st line EGFR TKI (65% 1 st /2 nd gen; 35% 3 rd gen)	1º: PFS	ORR: 58% vs 34% mPFS: 8.2 vs 4.5; HR=0.34, P<0.0001 Grade <u>></u> 3 AEs: 57% vs 57%
SAVANNAH	Phase 2 study of osimertinib + savolitinib	172	PD post 1-3 cycles of EGFR TKI, METamp (IHC 90%, FISH 10+)	1º: ORR	ORR: 55% mPFS: 7.5 mths (6.4-11.3) Grade <u>></u> 3 AEs: 56.4%
ORCHARD	Phase 2 platform trial evaluating resistance to osi	30 (interim- 20)	PD on 1L osimertinib with MET alterations on NGS	1º: ORR	ORR: 41% Grade <u>></u> 3 AEs: 30%
SAFFRON	Phase 3 comparing osimertinib + savolitinib vs pem + carbo	324	Chemo naïve, PS 0-1, received osimertinib as 1 st or 2 nd line therapy	1º: PFS	NA
FLOWERS/CT ONG2008	Ph 2 RCT comparing osi +/- savolitinib	44	Chemo naïve, EGFRm and METamp (IHC/NGS)	1º: ORR	ORR: 90.5% vs 60.9% mPFS: 19.6 vs 9.3; HR=0.8 (0.19- 1.81); Gr <u>></u> 3 AEs: 57.1% vs 8.7%
SANOVO	Ph 3 RCT savolitinib or placebo with osimertinib	320	First line EGFRm and METamp	1º: PFS	NA

Lu et al, ASCO 2025; Ahn M-J et al, ELCC 2025; Lu et al ESMO 2021; Oxnard et al, ASCO 2019; Lu et al, WCLC 2022; Yang WCLC 2024; Zhou et al, WCLC 2022

Noronha Educational ASCO 2025

Poster abstrat 8592. Furmonertinib + MET directed ADC RC108



Key inclusion criteria

- Histologically or cytologically confirmed la/m NSCLC
- EGFR sensitive mutation positive
- MET-overexpression defined as ≥IHC 1+ (≥10% of tumor cells has IHC 1+/2+/3+ staining in cytomembrane)
- Prior treatment with 1st/2nd/3rd-generation (G) EGFR-TKI
- At least one measurable lesion per RECIST v1.1

Study design



Table 3. Antitumor activity							
	C-Met ≥ IHC 1+ (N = 45)	C-Met \geq IHC 1+ and cytoplasmic 3+ \leq 20% (N = 37)	C-Met ≥ IHC 3+ and cytoplasmic 3+ ≤20% (N = 18)				
Best overall	response, n (%	· · · · · ·	(14 – 16)				
PR	15 (33.3)	15 (40.5)	11 (61.1)				
SD	20 (44.4)	17 (45.9)	6 (33.3)				
PD	8 (17.8)	4 (10.8)	1 (5.6)				
NE	2 (4.4)	1 (2.7)	0				
ORR, n (%)	15 (33.3)	15 (40.5)	11 (61.1)				
DCR, n (%)	35 (77.8)	32 (86.5)	17 (94.4)				
PR, partial response; SD, st	table disease; PD, progressive of	disease; NE, not evaluable.					

Figure 1. Best overall tumor response



Safety

Fase III en marcha

- Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) of any grade occurred in all patients, and grade ≥3 TRAEs in 17 (35.4%).
- TRAEs led to treatment discontinuation in 2 (4.2%) patients.
 Table 3. TRAEs of any grade occurring in ≥20% patients

TRAEs^, n (%)	Any grade	Grade ≥3*
Asthenia	27 (56.3)	5 (10.4)
Nausea	23 (47.9)	2 (4.2)
Decreased appetite	21 (43.8)	0
Vomiting	21 (43.8)	2 (4.2)
Pain in extremity	19 (39.6)	0
Neutrophil count decreased	18 (37.5)	5 (10.4)
White blood cell count decreased	18 (37.5)	1 (2.1)
Hypoaesthesia	16 (33.3)	1 (2.1)
Alopecia	16 (33.3)	0
Weight decreased	14 (29.2)	0
Anaemia	13 (27.1)	1 (2.1)
Constipation	13 (27.1)	0
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	11 (22.9)	1 (2.1)
Myalgia	12 (25.0)	0
Pruritus	10 (20.8)	0

*Related to any study treatment. *Including two grade 5 events with abnormal hepatic function and death (unknown cause) occurring in one patient each.

Poster Abstract. MARIPOSA 2. Outcomes by Osimertinib R



FIGURE 1: MARIPOSA-2 study design



FIGURE 5: Efficacy among participants with (A) *MET* amp and (B) secondary *EGFR* mutations



Note: METamp was defined as >2.2 copy number alterations.

Unknown R mechanism



EGFR 3° línea



Rapid Oral Abstract 8512: Phase I Temab - A (Telisotuzumab - Adizutecan) MET antibody . All MET

expresion

Temab-A Mechanism of Action⁴



Primary objectives

- Evaluate safety, tolerability and PK of Temab-A
- Assess preliminary efficacy (ORR, CBR, DOR, PFS, OS)

Tumor tissue c-Met protein expression assessed centrally by IHC, using the clinical trial assay for MET (SP44) (Roche Diagnostics)

	EGFR MT NSC	LC (N=41)
TEAEs, n (%)	Any Grade	Grade ≥3
Any TEAE ^a	41 (100)	32 (78)
Gastrointestinal ^b	34 (83)	3 (7)
Hematological ^b	34 (83)	21 (51)
Anemia	26 (63)	13 (32)
Neutropenia	17 (41)	12 (29)
Non-hematological ^b		
Nausea	27 (66)	1 (2)
Vomiting	16 (39)	3 (7)
Decreased appetite	14 (34)	1 (2)
Fatigue	13 (13)	1 (2)
Constipation	13 (32)	-

EGFR 3° línea

Rapid Oral Abstract 8512: Temab- A MET antibody . All MET expression

All patients with post-baseline data (n=38) experienced decrease in tumor burden



Outcome	Total (N=41)
Confirmed best overall response, ^a n (%)	
PR	26 (63)
SD	12 (29)
ORR,⁵ n (%)	26 (63)
CBR,° n (%) <i>CBR12</i> <i>CBR24</i>	38 (93) 34 (83) 32 (78)
mDOR, mo	9.8 [8.3, 13.9]
mPFS, mo [95%CI]	10.9 [9.4, 12.3]
P[OS at 12 mo], % [95% CI]	69 [52, 81]

Responses occurred irrespective of *EGFR* L858R alterations, exon 19 deletions or TKI resistance mutations, including T790M and C797S

Further studies



EGFR 3° línea

Rapid Oral Abstract 8512: Temab- A MET antibody . All MET expression

All patients with post-baseline data (n=38) experienced decrease in tumor burden



 Responses occurred irrespective of EGFR L858R alterations, exon 19 deletions or TKI resistance n including T790M and C797S

Further studies

Outcome Total (N=41) Confirmed best overall response,^a n (%) PR 26 (63) SD 12 (29) ORR,^b n (%) 26 (63) CBR,° n (%) 38 (93) CBR12 34 (83) CBR24 32 (78) mDOR, mo 9.8 [8.3, 13.9] mPFS, mo [95%CI] 10.9 [9.4, 12.3]



P[OS at 12 mo], % [95% CI]



69 [52, 81]

Oral Abstract HERTHENA Lung 02. Phase III Patritumab- DxD vs Chemo







Oral Abstract HERTHENA Lung 02. Phase III Patritumab- DxD vs Chemo





Gecp lung cancer research

Oral Abstract HERTHENA Lung 02. Phase III Patritumab- DxD vs Chemo



HER3-DXd significantly reduced the risk of disease progression (by BICR per RECIST 1.1) or death vs PBC





Ge

lung cance research

Oral Abstract HERTHENA Lung 02. Phase III Patritumab- DxD vs Chemo



HER3-DXd significantly reduced the risk of disease progression (by BICR per RECIST 1.1) or death vs PBC



Responses by BICR per RECIST		HER3-DXd (N=293)	PBC (N=293)
Confirmed ORF (95% CI), %	2	35.2 (29.7-40.9)	25.3 (20.4-30.6)
	CR	1 (0.3)	3 (1.0)
Best overall	PR	102 (34.8)	71 (24.2)
response,	SDª	133 (45.4)	148 (50.5)
n (%)	PD	40 (13.7)	35 (11.9)
	NE	17 (5.8) ^ь	36 (12.3)°
BOR to be confi	rmed, n (%)	2 (0.7) ^d	2 (0.7) ^d
DCR (95% CI), %		80.5 (75.5-84.9)	75.8 (70.4-80.6)
Median TTR (range), mo		1.5 (0.3-8.1)	1.5 (1.2-6.9)
Median DOR (95% Cl), mo		5.7 (5.1-7.3)	5.4 (4.1-5.6)



Ge

586 patients; study start date, 08 July 2022

Oral Abstract HERTHENA Lung 02. Phase III Patritumab- DxD vs Chemo



HER3-DXd significantly reduced the risk of disease progression (by BICR per RECIST 1.1) or death vs PBC



Responses by BICR per RECIST		HER3-DXd (N=293)	PBC (N=293)
Confirmed ORI (95% CI), %	र	35.2 (29.7-40.9)	25.3 (20.4-30.6)
	CR	1 (0.3)	3 (1.0)
Best overall	PR	102 (34.8)	71 (24.2)
response,	SDª	133 (45.4)	148 (50.5)
n (%)	PD	40 (13.7)	35 (11.9)
	NE	17 (5.8) ^b	36 (12.3) ^c
BOR to be confi	rmed, n (%)	2 (0.7) ^d	2 (0.7) ^d
DCR (95% CI), %		80.5 (75.5-84.9)	75.8 (70.4-80.6)
Median TTR (range), mo		1.5 (0.3-8.1)	1.5 (1.2-6.9)
Median DOR (95% Cl), mo		5.7 (5.1-7.3)	5.4 (4.1-5.6)

Ge

lung cance research

No clinical benefit. Withdrawal petition to FDA



Oral Abstract 8507. OptiTROP- 03. Sac- TMT vs Docetaxel (After Osi and platinum chemo) Obejtivo: ORR. Crossover

Sac-TMT improved ORR with a statistically significant difference of 28.9% over docetaxel.



as TROP2 Expression Levels	(n = 76)	(n = 33)	60.0%	56.5%			
TROP2 high, n (%)	46 (60.5)	18 (54.5)	50.0% -				
cORR, n (%) (95% CI)	26 (56.5) (41.1, 71.1)	4 (22.2) (6.4, 47.6)	40.0% -			36.7%	
Difference (95% CI)	34.3 (10	.3, 58.3)	30.0%				
TROP2 low/medium, n (%)	30 (39.5)	15 (45.5)	20.0%		22.2%		
cORR, n (%) (95% CI)	11 (36.7) (19.9, 56.1)	2 (13.3) (1.7, 40.5)	10.0%				13.3%
Difference (95% CI)	23.3 (-1	.0, 47.7)	0.0%	THE		THE	
			_	sac-TMT (n = 46)	Docetaxel (n = 18)	sac-TMT (n = 30)	Docetaxel (n = 15)

)ata cutoff date: Dec 31, 2024.

ROP2 expression was assessed using IHC (monoclonal antibody: EPR20043) by MEDx Translational Medicine. TROP2 high expression was defined as an IHC H-score >200, and TROP2 ow/medium expression was defined as an IHC H-score ≤200.

Oral Abstract 8507. OptiTROP- 03. Sac- TMT (antiTROP2) vs Docetaxel Obejtivo: ORR. Crossover

Sac-TMT significantly improved PFS over docetaxel with 70% lower risk of disease progression or death.







Oral Abstract 8507. OptiTROP- 03. Sac- TMT (antiTROP2) vs Docetaxel Obejtivo: ORR. Crossover

Sac-TMT significantly improved PFS over docetaxel with 70% lower risk of disease progression or death.





18

0



Oral Abstract 8507. OptiTROP- 03. Sac- TMT (antiTROP2) vs Docetaxel Obejtivo: ORR. Crossover

Sac-TMT significantly improved PFS over docetaxel with 70% lower risk of disease progression or death.





18

0



Oral Abstract 8507. OptiTROP- 03. Sac- TMT (antiTROP2) vs Docetaxel Obejtivo: ORR. Crossover

Sac-TMT significantly improved PFS over docetaxel with 70% lower risk of disease progression or death.





18

0

Sac-TMT, Any GradeDocetaxel, Any GradeSac-TMT, Grade ≥ 3 Docetaxel, Grade ≥ 3

Sin casos de NEUMONITIS



EGFR. Brain mets after 1-2G TKI

Oral abstract 2004. Asandeurtenib TY 9591 Phase 1

Significantly Reduces Toxic Metabolites of Osimertini via Deuteration Technology

- Structural similarity to osimertinib, with comparable efficacy and development potential.
- Deuteration technology enhances metabolic stability by blocking key metabolic sites, thus significantly reduces formation of the toxic metabolite TY-9591-D1 (AZ5104).
- Potential for improved efficacy and lower toxicity, leading to a broader therapeutic window.





EGFR. Brain mets after 1-2G TKI

Oral abstract 2004. Asandeurtenib TY 9591 Phase 1

Significantly Reduces Toxic Metabolites of Osimertini via Deuteration Technology

- Structural similarity to osimertinib, with comparable efficacy and development potential.
- Deuteration technology enhances metabolic stability by blocking key metabolic sites, thus significantly reduces formation of the toxic metabolite TY-9591-D1 (AZ5104).
- Potential for improved efficacy and lower toxicity, leading to a broader therapeutic window.







EGFR. Brain mets after 1-2G TKI

Oral abstract 2004. Asandeurtenib TY 9591 Phase 1

Significantly Reduces Toxic Metabolites of Osimertini via Deuteration Technology

- Structural similarity to osimertinib, with comparable efficacy and development potential.
- Deuteration technology enhances metabolic stability by blocking key metabolic sites, thus significantly reduces formation of the toxic metabolite TY-9591-D1 (AZ5104).
- Potential for improved efficacy and lower toxicity, leading to a broader therapeutic window.



Figure 2. Waterfall plot of the best variation of the sum of the longest diameters of intracranial target lesions from baseline


EGFR. Brain mets after 1-2G TKI

Oral abstract 2004. Asandeurtenib TY 9591 Phase 1

Significantly Reduces Toxic Metabolites of Osimertini via Deuteration Technology

- Structural similarity to osimertinib, with comparable efficacy and development potential.
- Deuteration technology enhances metabolic stability by blocking key metabolic sites, thus significantly reduces formation of the toxic metabolite TY-9591-D1 (AZ5104).
- Potential for improved efficacy and lower toxicity, leading to a broader therapeutic window.



Figure 2. Waterfall plot of the best variation of the sum of the longest diameters of intracranial target lesions from baseline

- > Asandeutertinib treatment showed improvement in ORR, DCR, iPFS and PFS.
- > Median iPFS, DOR, and OS were not reached when the trial was completed.

Table 3. Secondary Efficacy Results

	ORR	DCR	PFS	iPFS	12m-iPFS
	(%; 95%Cl)	(%; 95%Cl)	(months; 95%Cl)	(months; 95%Cl)	(%; 95%Cl)
All patients	82.8	96.6	13.5	NA	96.6
(n=29)	(64.2 - 94.2)	(82.2 - 99.9)	(12.5 - NA)	(14.7 - NA)	(77.9 - 99.5)
Treatment naïve	81.5	96.3	15.1	NA	96.3
(n=27)	(61.9 - 93.7)	(81.0 - 99.9)	(12.5 - NA)		(76.5-99.5)

BM group Primary endpoints: Key eligibility brain parenchymal · iORR per INV using RANO-BM NSCLC metastases without LM, eORR per INV using RECIST n=30-40 EGFRm+ (19del, 21L858R) v1.1 · Patients previously treated with Secondary endpoints: TY-9591, 160 mg QD, administered continuously in 21-day 1st/2nd gen EGFR-TKIs and cycles until disease progression (intracranial or ORR extracranial), meeting discontinuation criteria, withdrawal, confirmed T790M-positive. DCR or study termination-whichever occurs first. Both intracranial and extracranial DoR PFS measurable tumors LM group iPFS · ECOG: 0-2 Positive CSF cytology or OS EGFRm in CSF, n=10-20 n=40-60 · Safety and Tolerability

EGFR. Brain mets after 1-2G TKI

Oral abstract 2004. Asandeurtenib TY 9591 Phase 1

Significantly Reduces Toxic Metabolites of Osimertini via Deuteration Technology

- Structural similarity to osimertinib, with comparable efficacy and development potential.
- Deuteration technology enhances metabolic stability by blocking key metabolic sites, thus significantly reduces formation of the toxic metabolite TY-9591-D1 (AZ5104).
- Potential for improved efficacy and lower toxicity, leading to a broader therapeutic window.



Figure 2. Waterfall plot of the best variation of the sum of the longest diameters of intracranial target lesions from baseline

- > Asandeutertinib treatment showed improvement in ORR, DCR, iPFS and PFS.
- Median iPFS, DOR, and OS were not reached when the trial was completed.

Pills Man Man Mar This the Star Revaluation and Instant

Table 3. Secondary Efficacy Results

	ORR	DCR	PFS	iPFS	12m-iPFS
	(%; 95%Cl)	(%; 95%Cl)	(months; 95%Cl)	(months; 95%Cl)	(%; 95%Cl)
All patients	82.8	96.6	13.5	NA	96.6
(n=29)	(64.2 - 94.2)	(82.2 - 99.9)	(12.5 - NA)	(14.7 - NA)	(77.9 - 99.5)
Treatment naïve	81.5	96.3	15.1	NA	96.3
(n=27)	(61.9 - 93.7)	(81.0 - 99.9)	(12.5 - NA)		(76.5-99.5)

Primary endpoints: Key eligibility brain parenchymal · iORR per INV using RANO-BM NSCLC metastases without LM. eORR per INV using RECIST n=30-40 EGFRm+ (19del, 21L858R) v1.1 Patients previously treated with Secondary endpoints: TY-9591, 160 mg QD, administered continuously in 21-day 1st/2nd gen EGFR-TKIs and cycles until disease progression (intracranial or ORR extracranial), meeting discontinuation criteria, withdrawal, confirmed T790M-positive. DCR or study termination-whichever occurs first. Both intracranial and extracranial DoR PFS measurable tumors LM group iPFS ECOG: 0-2 Positive CSF cytology or OS EGFRm in CSF, n=10-20 n=40-60 · Safety and Tolerability

BM group

FASE III VS OSIMERTINIB EN BM

EGFR

Poster Abstract 8604. TOTEM Phase 1. Osimertinib + Repotrectinib



Figure 1 A. Objective response rate (ORR) and target lesions maximum size reduction

B. Example of intracranial response to repotrectinib plus osimertinib in a patient resistant to osimer



*Potients with previous exposure to osimertinib

icORR: intracranial objective response rate. N= 7 for intracranial disease and icORR

RPatients with icCR (intracranial complete response). None of the 3 patients with icCR had received any previous local treatment.

N= 16; 1 patient Not evaluable (NE) for response; 1 with no past baseline Target Lesions assessment

Efectos adversos similares a ya reportados. Pendiente resultados 1B completada

Repotrectinib: Preclinical observation Osimertinib-induced Src and FAK phosphorylation was abrogated with Repotrectinib. Hypothesis: Carry on trial osimertinib plus Repotrectinib which inhibit Src/FAK/JAK2, in addition to ALK, ROS1 and NTRKs.



Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab



haracteristic	Platinum-based chemotherapy without ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=143)	Prior ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=101)
ledian number of prior systemic egimens, No. (range)	1 (0–6)	2 (1–7)
rior chemotherapy, No. (%)	132 (92)	96 (95)
rior anti–PD-(L)1, No. (%)	67 (47)	46 (46)
rior targeted therapy, No. (%)	37 (26)	101 (100)
Amivantamab	0	84 (83)
Mobocertinib	0	40 (40)
Bevacizumab	14 (10)	16 (16)
Osimertinib	13 (9)	7 (7)
BLU-451	0	5 (5)
Cetuximab	4 (3)	0
Poziotinib	0	3 (3)
Sunvozertinib	0	3 (3)

Piotrowska Z. JCO 2025



Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab

Outcome its with prior	Primary efficacy population (N=176)	Platinum-based chemotherapy without ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=125)	Prior amivantamab ± other ex20ins-target therapy (n=51)ª
20ins-target therapy			
CR	1 (1)	0	1 (2)
PR	61 (35)	50 (40)	11 (22)
Unconfirmed PR ^c	7 (4)	6 (5)	1 (2)
SD	88 (50)	55 (44)	33 (65)
PD	11 (6)	8 (6)	3 (6)
Not evaluable ^d	8 (5)	6 (5)	0
Confirmed ORR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^e	62 (35) [28–43]	50 (40) [31–49]	12 (24) [13–38]
DCR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^f	157 (89) [84–93]	111 (89) [82–94]	46 (90) [79–97]
CBR, No. (%) [95% CI] ⁹	113 (64) [57–71]	85 (68) [59–76]	28 (55) [40–69]
Median time to response, days (range)	44 (31–295)	44 (39–232)	44 (39–232)
Median DOR, months (95% CI)	8.8 (8.3–12.7)	8.8 (8.3–12.7)	8.5 (4.2–14.8)





Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab

	Platinum-based Primary efficacy chemotherapy without Prior amivantamab ± other				
Outcome ts with prior	population (N=176)	ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=125)	ex20ins-target therapy (n=51) ^a		
20ins-target therapy	4 (4)	2	1 (0)		
CR	1 (1)	0	1 (2)		
PR	61 (35)	50 (40)	11 (22)		
Unconfirmed PR ^c	7 (4)	6 (5)	1 (2)		
SD	88 (50)	55 (44)	33 (65)		
PD	11 (6)	8 (6)	3 (6)		
Not evaluable ^d	8 (5)	6 (5)	0		
Confirmed ORR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^e	62 (35) [28–43]	50 (40) [31–49]	12 (24) [13–38]		
DCR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^f	157 (89) [84–93]	111 (89) [82–94]	46 (90) [79–97]		
CBR, No. (%) [95% CI] ⁹	113 (64) [57–71]	85 (68) [59–76]	28 (55) [40–69]		
Median time to response, days (range)	44 (31–295)	44 (39–232)	44 (39–232)		
Median DOR, months (95% CI)	8.8 (8.3–12.7)	8.8 (8.3–12.7)	8.5 (4.2–14.8)		



Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab

Outcome	Primary efficacy population (N=176)	Platinum-based chemotherapy without ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=125)	Prior amivantamab ± oth ex20ins-target therapy (n=51)ª	
ex20ins-target therapy				
CR	1 (1)	0	1 (2)	
PR	61 (35)	50 (40)	11 (22)	
Unconfirmed PR ^c	7 (4)	6 (5)	1 (2)	
SD	88 (50)	55 (44)	33 (65)	
PD	11 (6)	8 (6)	3 (6)	
Not evaluable ^d	8 (5)	6 (5)	0	
Confirmed ORR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^e	62 (35) [28–43]			
DCR, No. (%) [95% CI] ^f	157 (89) [84–93]		F	Prior amivantamab without
CBR, No. (%) [95% CI]9	113 (64) [57–71]			other ex20ins-targeted
Median time to response, days (range)	44 (31–295)			therapy
Median DOR, months (95% CI)	8.8 (8.3–12.7)	Outcome		(n=30)

Prior amivantamab without other ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=30)	Prior amivantamab and other ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=21)
1 (3)	0
8 (27)	3 (14)
1 (3)	0
19 (63)	14 (67)
0	3 (14)
9 (30) [15–49]	3 (14) [3–36]
29 (97) [83–100]	17 (81) [58–95]
18 (60) [41–77]	10 (48) [26–70]
43 (39–232)	98 (40–103)
14.7 (4.2–NE)	4.2 (3.9–NE)
	other ex20ins-targeted therapy (n=30) 1 (3) 8 (27) 1 (3) 19 (63) 0 9 (30) [15–49] 29 (97) [83–100] 18 (60) [41–77] 43 (39–232)



Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab

Progression-free survival per ICR



Progression-free survival was defined as the time between the day of the first dose of zipalertinib and the first documentation of progressive disease or death, whichever occurred earlier 21, confidence interval, ex20ins, exon 20 insertions, ICR, independent central review, PFS, progression-free survival.

ASCO L MEETING #ASCO25

CO25 PRESENTED BY: Helena Alexandra Yu, MD Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permi







Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab

Progression-free survival per ICR



Piotrowska Z. JCO 2025 Corassa M. ASCO 2025

Oral Abstract. 8503. REZILIENT 1. Zipalertinib in pretreated Chemo +/- Amivantamab



Progression-free survival per ICR



ASCO

ALK

Abstract 8625. Rare ALK fusions.

29 centers Europeos

Characteristics	EML4::ALK	Rare ALK
Total number of patients	277	51
Age, y, median (25 th ; 75 th percentile)	59 (49; 69)*	66 (53; 72)*
Sex (N = 277, 51), n (%)		
Female	143 (52)	21 (41)
Male	134 (48)	30 (59)
Smoking (N = 266, 49), n (%)		
Active Smoker	32 (12)	11 (22)
Former Smoker	63 (23)	19 (37)
Never Smoker	161 (58)*	19 (37)*
Pack years in smokers, median	6,7*	14,7*
Histology (N=277, 51), n (%)		
Adenocarcinoma	261 (94)	45 (88)
Squamous	4 (1)	5 (10)
Adenosquamous	4 (1)	1 (2)
Undifferentiated / NOS	5 (2)	0 (0)





ALK

Abstract 8625. Rare ALK fusions. 29 centers Europeos

Characteristics	EML4::ALK	Rare ALK
Total number of patients	277	51
Age, y, median (25 th ; 75 th percentile)	59 (49; 69)*	66 (53; 72)*
Sex (N = 277, 51), n (%)		
Female	143 (52)	21 (41)
Male	134 (48)	30 (59)
Smoking (N = 266, 49), n (%)		
Active Smoker	32 (12)	11 (22)
Former Smoker	63 (23)	19 (37)
Never Smoker	63 (23) 19 (3 161 (58)* 19 (3	
Pack years in smokers, median	6,7*	14,7*
Histology (N=277, 51), n (%)		
Adenocarcinoma	261 (94)	45 (88)
Squamous	4 (1)	5 (10)
Adenosquamous	4 (1)	1 (2)
Undifferentiated / NOS	5 (2)	0 (0)



Gecp

lung cancer research

Mas fumadores

ALK

Abstract 8625. Rare ALK fusions. 29 centers Europeos

Characteristics	EML4::ALK	Rare ALK
Total number of patients	277	51
Age, y, median (25 th ; 75 th percentile)	59 (49; 69)*	66 (53; 72)*
Sex (N = 277, 51), n (%)		
Female	143 (52)	21 (41)
Male	134 (48)	30 (59)
Smoking (N = 266, 49), n (%)		
Active Smoker	32 (12)	11 (22)
Former Smoker	63 (23)	19 (37)
Never Smoker	161 (58)*	19 (37)*
Pack years in smokers, median	6,7*	14,7*
Histology (N=277, 51), n (%)		
Adenocarcinoma	261 (94)	45 (88)
Squamous	4 (1)	5 (10)
Adenosquamous	4 (1)	1 (2)
Undifferentiated / NOS	5 (2)	0 (0)

Mas fumadores







Ge

lung cance research



Abstract 3116. AAROW 1/2 Final data Pralsetinib NSCL



Subbiah JCO 2020

 281 patients with RET fusion-positive NSCLC received pralsetinib 400 mg QD, with a median duration of treatment of 15 months





Abstract RET- MAP registry. Rechallenge First generation TKI





Subbiah JCO 2020

Abstract RET- MAP registry. Rechallenge First generation TKI





Subbiah JCO 2020

Abstract 8598. Phase I EP0031. Naive o pretreated



Figure 1. Study design



Other evaluations

Tumor biopsy to determine RET gene fusions and mutations and correlation with efficacy



Abstract 8598. Phase I EP0031. Naive o pretreated







Other evaluations

Tumor biopsy to determine RET gene fusions and mutations and correlation with efficacy

Figure 2. DOT in 40 treated patients 20mg 20mg 120mg 60mg - Ongoing treatment BOR (confirmed) CR PR SD • Progressive disease 60mg • 120mg • 90mg • • Not evaluable 0 90mg 120mg 90mg 120mg 120mg 90mg 90mg 90mg 90mg 90mg 90mg 120mg Event Prior 1st-generation SRI Baseline brain metastases ☆ Dose escalation First response First progression L/M/O - Lung/MTC/Other RET + ve solid tumor 23 24 25 26 27 17 22 14 13 15 16 20 DOT (months)

Marinello A. Abstract8646 Alonso Casal, Abastract 8598

Abstract 8598. Phase I EP0031. Naive o pretreated







Other evaluations

Figure 1. Study design

Tumor biopsy to determine RET gene fusions and mutations and correlation with efficacy



- Shows evidence of deep and durable responses in NSCLC regardless of exposure to prior SRI including selpercatinib and pralsetinib
- Efficacy extends to patients with brain metastases, with complete resolution in several patients
- Showed clearance of on-target RET resistance mutations, namely G810R solvent front (patient with papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), prior selpercatinib) and L730V, L730I RET roof mutations (patient with NSCLC, prior pralsetinib)

Marinello A. Abstract8646 Alonso Casal, Abastract 8598



Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)



SOHO-01 study design (NCT05099172)



(per investigator assessment

^aPatients from dose escalation / backfill treated with 20 mg BID and who met the same eligibility criteria were combined for statistical analysis; ^bCohorts of patients with EGFR mutations are not shown

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; BICR, blinded independent central review; DCR, disease control rate; DoR, duration of response; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival





Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.org







Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)



Sevabertinib in pretreated *HER2*-mutant NSCLC (Cohort D): Tumor response by blinded independent central review (BICR)



BICR ORR 60.5% DCR 81.5%

Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Sevabertinib in pretreated *HER2*-mutant NSCLC (Cohort D): Tumor response by blinded independent central review (BICR)

Cohort D (*n*=81), naïve to HER2-targeted therapy

Median follow-up: 7.3 months^a

n (%)	INV	BICR
CR	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)
PR	47 (58.0)	48 (59.3)
SD	22 (27.2)	22 (27.2)
PD	10 (12.3)	7 (8.6)
Not evaluable ^b	1 (1.2)	3 (3.7)
ORR° [95% Cl]	48 (59.3) [47.8, 70.1]	49 (60.5) [49.0, 71.2]
DCR ^d [95% CI]	68 (84.0) [74.1, 91.2]	66 (81.5) [71.3, 89.2]

Median follow-up was 7.3 months^a

Ge

- 41 of 81 patients (50.6%) had ongoing treatment
- 16 patients (19.8%) had a treatment duration of >12 months
- Median duration of treatment was
 6.2 months (range 0.2-24.4)



Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Sevabertinib in pretreated *HER2*-mutant NSCLC (Cohort D): Tumor response by blinded independent central review (BICR)

Cohort D (*n*=81), naïve to HER2-targeted therapy Median follow-up: 7.3 months^a n (%) INV BICR CR 1(1.2)1 (1.2) PR 47 (58.0) 48 (59.3) BICR SD 22 (27.2) 22 (27.2) ORR 60.5% PD 10 (12.3) 7 (8.6) DCR 81.5% Not evaluable^b 1(1.2)3 (3.7) ORRº [95% CI] 48 (59.3) [47.8, 70.1] 49 (60.5) [49.0, 71.2] DCR^d [95% CI] 68 (84.0) [74.1, 91.2] 66 (81.5) [71.3, 89.2] 60 Percent change from baseline in tumor size (%) 40 Not evaluable SD PR 20 0 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 1234567

Best overall response

- Median follow-up was 7.3 months^a
- 41 of 81 patients (50.6%) had ongoing treatment
- 16 patients (19.8%) had a treatment duration of >12 months
- Median duration of treatment was 6.2 months (range 0.2-24.4)

In Expansion Cohort D (n=44)^a:

- Median DoR (95% CI) was 9.2 months (5.2, not estimable); range 2.6-21.5^b months
- 12-month DoR rate was 49.3%
- 48.0% of patients were censored

2025 ASCO



Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib) Cohort F: Naïve systemic treatment

Sevabertinib in first-line *HER2*-mutant NSCLC (Expansion Cohort F): Preliminary tumor response



Date for patients without a target lesion measurement are not shown in the waterfall plot. Tumor response was assessed by RECIST v⁻*Patients exhibited a 0% tumor reduction

^aData for Extension Cohort F are immature as of the October 14, 2024, cut-off, ^bNot available: post-baseline tumor assessment, but discontinued due to a drug-related toxicity, death, or progression by clinical judgment before disease was re-evaluated and was therefore considered evaluable (considered as non-responder); ^cPatients with confirmed CR or PR; ^dPatients with confirmed CR or confirmed PR or SD for ≥12 weeks

Cl, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; INV, investigator assessed; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease

#ASCO25 PRESENTED BY: Dr Herbert H. Loong Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.org

mFollow up: 5.6 m. (2.1% ongoing



Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

100

Cohort F: Naïve systemic treatment

Sevabertinib in first-line HER2-mutant NSCLC (Ex **Preliminary tumor response**



Cl, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; INV, investigator assessed; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial

2025 ASCO #ASCO25

PRESENTED BY: Dr Herbert H. Loong Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.org Most frequent treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs, ≥10% of total)^a

-	-) mg Bl				ort F° – 2			
	24		35	26	Diarrhea		54		26	3 82
		49	9	41	Rash		44	13	56	
			25	11 14	Paronychia	10 8	18			
			19	4 14	Stomatitis	15	8 23			
			19	3 5 11	Nausea	555	15			
			16	6 51 10	Hypokalemia	8 5 8	3 21			
			10	6 41 11	Vomiting	8 3 10	21			
			1	14 5 7	Anemia	18	3 21			
			17	7 3 15	Weight decreased	5 5 10)			
			3	15 3 11	Alanine aminotransferase increased	8 53	15			
			1	17 3 15	Dry skin	8 8				
			1	16 14	Aspartate aminotransferase increased	533 1	0			
			1	16 34 10	Pruritus	8 3 1	10			
			-	15 456	Decreased appetite	8 3 1	10			
			1	15 5 10	Amylase increased	8 8				
				10 7 3	Lipase increased	8 8	15			
				6 34	Mouth ulceration	10 8	18			
_		50		0		0		50		
			ts (%)	71751	12 II.	0	P	atients	; (%)	







Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

100

Cohort F: Naïve systemic treatment

Sevabertinib in first-line HER2-mutant NSCLC (Ex **Preliminary tumor response** Cohort F (n=39): naïve to systemic thera Median follow-up: 5.6 mc n (%) CR ORR 59.0% PR SD DCR 84.6% PD NA^b ORRº [95% CI] 23 33 DCR^d [95% CI] 60 Percent change from baseline in tumor size (%) 40 20 * * * * 0 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 1 2 3 4 5 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 2 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Best overall response Date for patients without a target lesion measurement are not shown in the waterfall plot. Tumor response was assessed by RECIST v1.1. *Patients exhibited a 0% tumor reduction

^aData for Extension Cohort F are immature as of the October 14, 2024, cut-off; ^bNot available: post-baseline tumor assessment, but discon: clinical judgment before disease was re-evaluated and was therefore considered evaluable (considered as non-responder); ^cPatients with c PR or SD for ≥12 weeks

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; INV, investigator assessed; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial

2025 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING #ASCO25

PRESENTED BY: Dr Herbert H. Loong Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.org Most frequent treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs, ≥10% of total)^a

lung cance research



mFollow up: 5.6 m. (2.1% ongoing



Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Alteration	Drug	Population	ORR	mDoR (months)	mPFS	mOS	FDA-Approved
HER2 mutations	T-Dxd	Pretreated	55%	9.3	8.2	17.3	Yes
	T-DM1	Pretreated/Naïve	44%	4.0	5.0	Not Available	No
	THP	Pretreated	29%	11.0	6.8	17.6	No
	Poziotinib	Pretreated/Naïve	27%	5.0	5.5	15.0	No
	Pyrotinib	Pretreated	30%	6.9	6.9	14.4	No
	Afatinib	Pretreated	13%	6.0	3.0	23.0	No





Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Alteration	Drug	Population	ORR	mDoR (months)	mPFS	mOS	FDA-Approved
HER2 mutations	T-Dxd	Pretreated	55%	9.3	8.2	17.3	Yes
	T-DM1	Pretreated/Naïve	44%	4.0	5.0	Not Available	No
	THP	Pretreated	29%	11.0	6.8	17.6	No
	Poziotinib	Pretreated/Naïve	27%	5.0	5.5	15.0	No
	Pyrotinib	Pretreated	30%	6.9	6.9	14.4	No
	Afatinib	Pretreated	13%	6.0	3.0	23.0	No



mPFS 12.9 months





Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Alteration	Drug	Population	ORR	mDoR (months)	mPFS	mOS	FDA-Approved
HER2 mutations	T-Dxd	Pretreated	55%	9.3	8.2	17.3	Yes
	T-DM1	Pretreated/Naïve	44%	4.0	5.0	Not Available	No
	THP	Pretreated	29%	11.0	6.8	17.6	No
	Poziotinib	Pretreated/Naïve	27%	5.0	5.5	15.0	No
	Pyrotinib	Pretreated	30%	6.9	6.9	14.4	No
	Afatinib	Pretreated	13%	6.0	3.0	23.0	No









Oral Abstract 8504. SOHO-01: Safety and efficacy of BAY 2927088 (Sevabertinib)

Alteration	Drug	Population	ORR	mDoR (months)	mPFS	mOS	FDA-Approved
HER2 mutations	T-Dxd	Pretreated	55%	9.3	8.2	17.3	Yes
	T-DM1	Pretreated/Naïve	44%	4.0	5.0	Not Available	No
	THP	Pretreated	29%	11.0	6.8	17.6	No
	Poziotinib	Pretreated/Naïve	27%	5.0	5.5	15.0	No
	Pyrotinib	Pretreated	30%	6.9	6.9	14.4	No
	Afatinib	Pretreated	13%	6.0	3.0	23.0	No





Drug	ILD G1	ILD ≥ G3
Sevabertinib	0%	0%
Zongertinib	0%	0%
T-Dxd 5.4 mg/kg	12.9%	2%

Corassa M. ASCO 2025 Heymatch GV_NF.IM 2025

KRASG12C 1° linea



Oral Abstract 8500. KRYSTAL 7 Phase 2 . Adagrasib 400mg + Pembrolizumab all PDL1

KRYSTAL-7: ADA + PEMBRO in 1L KRASG12C NSCLC

Response per investigator assessment in all patients





Oral Abstract 8500. KRYSTAL 7 Phase 2 . Adagrasib 400mg + Pembrolizumab all PDL1

KRYSTAL-7: ADA + PEMBRO in 1L KRASG12C NSCLC

PFS^a and OS^b in all patients



• A total of 45 (30%) patients received subsequent anticancer therapy, the most common being chemotherapy (n = 21) and chemotherapy with checkpoint inhibitor therapy (n = 9)

Median follow-up: 22.8 mo.

^aPFS per investigator assessment. PFS is defined as the time from the date of first study treatment to the date of first PD or death due to any cause, whichever occurs first. ^bOS is defined as the time from the date of first study treatment to the date of death due to any cause.



Oral Abstract 8500. KRYSTAL 7 Phase 2 . Adagrasib 400mg + Pembrolizumab all PDL1

KRYSTAL -7: ADA + PFMBRO in 11 KRASG12C NSCLC

KRYSTAL-7: ADA + PEMBRO in 1L KRASG12C NSCLC

PFS^a and OS^b in all OS according to PD-L1 status^a



 A total of 45 (30%) patients receive chemotherapy with checkpoint inhi

Median follow-up: 22.8 mo.

 $^{\rm a}\text{PFS}$ per investigator assessment. PFS is defined as the time 1 time from the date of first study treatment to the date of de



Median follow-up: 22.8 mo (all patients).

^aOS in the biomarker-evaluable population. OS is defined as the time from the date of first study treatment to the date of death due to any cause. ^bPatients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% included 18 patients with PD-L1 TPS 50-79% and 20 patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 80%.

Oral Abstract 8500. KRYSTAL 7 Phase 2 . Adagrasib 400mg + Pembrolizumab all PDL1 Toxicity

Patients, n (%)	PD-L1 < 50% (n = 95)	PD-L1 ≥ 50% (n = 54)	All patients (N = 149)
TRAEs			
Any grade	91 (96)	50 (93)	141 (95)
Grade 3	54 (57)	32 (59)	86 (58)
Grade 4	13 (14)	3 (6)	16 (11)
Grade 5	3 (3)	0	3 (2) ^b
TRAEs leading to			
ADA dose interruption	65 (68)	35 (65)	100 (67)
ADA dose reduction ^c	50 (53)	22 (41)	72 (48)
ADA discontinuation only	5 (5)	5 (9)	10 (7)
PEMBRO discontinuation only	19 (20)	6 (11)	25 (17)
ADA and PEMBRO discontinuation ^d	7 (7)	3 (6)	10 (7)
Any grade immune-related AEs	23 (24)	10 (19)	33 (22)

TRAEs G1-2 : Nausea, Diarrhea iAEs: Pneumonitis 12%

D-ti		A	l patients (N = 14	9)	
Patients, n (%)	Any grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Any hepatic TRAEs	88 (59)	25 (17)	20 (13)	41 (28)	2 (1)
Most frequent hepatic TRAEs ^b					
ALT increase	59 (40)	23 (15)	19 (13)	16 (11)	1 (< 1)
AST increase	53 (36)	20 (13)	12 (8)	19 (13)	2 (1)



Oral Abstract 8500. KRYSTAL 7 Phase 2 . Adagrasib 400mg + Pembrolizumab all PDL1 Toxicity

Patients, n (%)	PD-L1 < 50% (n = 95)	PD-L1 ≥ 50% (n = 54)	All patients (N = 149)	
TRAEs				
Any grade	91 (96)	50 (93)	141 (95)	
Grade 3	54 (57)	32 (59)	86 (58)	
Grade 4	13 (14)	3 (6)	16 (11)	
Grade 5	3 (3)	0	3 (2) ^b	
TRAEs leading to				
ADA dose interruption	65 (68)	35 (65)	100 (67)	
ADA dose reduction ^c	50 (53)	22 (41)	72 (48)	
ADA discontinuation only	5 (5)	5 (9)	10 (7)	
PEMBRO discontinuation only	19 (20)	6 (11)	25 (17)	
ADA and PEMBRO discontinuation ^d	7 (7)	3 (6)	10 (7)	
Any grade immune-related AEs	23 (24)	10 (19)	33 (22)	

TRAEs G1-2 : Nausea, Diarrhea iAEs: Pneumonitis 12%

Futuro: KRYSTAL 7 (en solo PDL1 > 50%) KRYSTAL 4 + QT

D_{2}		All patients (N = 149)						
Patients, n (%)	Any grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4			
Any hepatic TRAEs	88 (59)	25 (17)	20 (13)	41 (28)	2 (1)			
Most frequent hepatic TRAEs ^b								
ALT increase	59 (40)	23 (15)	19 (13)	16 (11)	1 (< 1)			
AST increase	53 (36)	20 (13)	12 (8)	19 (13)	2 (1)			



KRASG12C 1° linea

Rapid oral Abstract 8519. Olomorasib + Pembrolizumab all PDL1 levels

-100

PD-L1 Score



 12-month PFS rate, % (95% Cl)
 66.7 (40.9-83.2)
 59.8 (8.2-90.0)


Rapid oral Abstract 8519. Olomorasib Pembrolizumab all PDL1 levels





Key objectives

- Safety and Tolerability
- · Determine MTD and R2PD
- · Pharmacokinetics
- ORR, DCR, PFS and DoR per RECIST v1.1

Safety Profile: 1L Olomorasib + Pembrolizumab

Olomorasib (50 or 100 mg BID) + Pembrolizumab (n=48)							
Parameter n (%)	TEAEs	(≥10%)			TRAEs ^a		
	Any Grade	Grade≥3	Any Grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4 ^b
Any AE	45 (93.8)	26 (54.2)	43 (89.6)	9 (18.8)	15 (31.3)	17 (35.4)	2 (4.2)
Diarrhea	20 (41.7)	4 (8.3)	17 (35.4)	8 (16.7)	5 (10.4)	4 (8.3)	-
ALT increased	16 (33.3)	11 (22.9)	14 (29.2)	1 (2.1)	2 (4.2)	11 (22.9)	-
AST increased	14 (29.2)	7 (14.6)	14 (29.2)	2 (4.2)	5 (10.4)	7 (14.6)	-
Nausea	13 (27.1)	-	9 (18.8)	6 (12.5)	3 (6.3)	-	-
Fatigue	11 (22.9)		7 (14.6)	2 (4.2)	5 (10.4)	-	
Vomiting	10 (20.8)	-	6 (12.5)	3 (6.3)	3 (6.3)		-
Decreased appetite	8 (16.7)	1 (2.1)	6 (12.5)	4 (8.3)	2 (4.2)	-	-
Pruritis	9 (18.8)	-	7 (14.6)	6 (12.5)	1 (2.1)	-	-
Abdominal pain	9 (18.8)	-	3 (6.3)	2 (4.2)	1 (2.1)	-	-
Peripheral oedema	8 (16.7)	1 (2.1)	2 (4.3)	-	-	-	-
Constipation	7 (14 6)	1 (2 1)	1 (2 1)	1 (2 1)			

Dose modifications due to TRAEs

TRAEs led to dose reductions of olomorasib in 11 patients (23%)

TRAEs^c led to discontinuation of the treatment regimen in 2 patients (4%)

Rapid oral Abstract. Phase II Sosimerasib 500mg. (china) Efficacy ORR





Rapid oral Abstract. Phase II Sosimerasib 500mg. (china)



Efficacy ORR ORR



Rapid oral Abstract. Phase II Sosimerasib 500mg. (china)

Efficacy ORR ORR



Cut-off date: November 3, 2024



Response (IRC)	N=145
Median follow-up, months	6.8
DCR (95% CI)	87.6 (81.1-92.5)
TTR	
Median (range), months	1.4 (1.2-8.4)
DOR	
Median (95% CI), months	NA (5.4-NA)
PFS	
Events, n (%)	62 (42.8)
Median (95% CI), months	7.2 (5.6-NA)
6-month PFS rate (95% CI)	56.7 (47.5-65.0)
OS	
Deaths, n (%)	31 (21.4)
Median (95% CI), months	NA (NA-NA)
6-month OS rate (95% CI)	86.6 (79.8-91.3)
Cut-off date: November 3, 2024	

Rapid oral Abstract. Phase II Sosimerasib 500mg. (china)

Efficacy ORR ORR



Cut-off date: November 3, 2024

		-
Common TRAEs	Any Grade	Grade ≥ 3
ALT increased	66.2%	9.0%
AST increased	62.8%	9.0%
Anemia	31.7%	3.4%
GGT increased	26.2%	15.2%
ALP increased	22.1%	6.9%
Nausea	17.9%	0



Response (IRC)	N=145
Median follow-up, months	6.8
DCR (95% CI)	87.6 (81.1-92.5)
TTR	
Median (range), months	1.4 (1.2-8.4)
DOR	
Median (95% CI), months	NA (5.4-NA)
PFS	
Events, n (%)	62 (42.8)
Median (95% CI), months	7.2 (5.6-NA)
6-month PFS rate (95% CI)	56.7 (47.5-65.0)
OS	
Deaths, n (%)	31 (21.4)
Median (95% CI), months	NA (NA-NA)
6-month OS rate (95% CI)	86.6 (79.8-91.3)
Cut-off date: November 3 2024	

Rapid Oral Abstract 8518. LUNG MAP. Impact of comutations

Lung-MAP S1900E Schema



Co-Mutation Spectrum	N (%)*
No Co-Mutation in Protocol- Defined Biomarkers	24 (63)
Triple Co-Mutations (Total) TP53/STK11/KEAP 1 TP53/STK11/CUL3 	3 (8) 2 1
Double Co-Mutations (Total) • TP53/STK11 • STK11/KEAP1 • TP53/KEAP1	7 (18) 4 2 1
Single Co-Mutation (Total) KEAP1 NFE2L2 	3 (8) 2 1







Rapid Oral Abstract 8518. LUNG MAP. Impact of comutations

Lung-MAP S1900E Primary Endpoint Objective Response Rate:

TP53 and OTHER co-mut met primary endpoint while STK11 co-mut did not





Rapid Oral Abstract 8518. LUNG MAP. Impact of comutations

Lung-MAP S1900E Primary Endpoint Objective Response Rate:

TP53 and OTHER co-mut met primary endpoint while STK11 co-mut did not



KRASG12 C

Poster Abstract MK 1084. Phase I KANDLELI 001

Figure 1. KANDLELIT-001 study design. KANDLELIT-001 is an ongoing, open-label, phase 1 study of the KRAS G12C inhibitor MK-1084 that is being conducted at 68 global sites. Dose escalation followed a modified toxicity probability interval design; optional dose expansion cohorts were prespecified.

- Key Eligibility Criteria: All Arms
- Age ≥18 years
- Histologic or blood-based confirmation of KRAS G12C mutation
- ECOG PS 0 or 1
- Measurable disease per RECIST v1.1

	(-1084 25-400 mg/d PO°+ Pembrolizumab ^e ic NSCLC with PD-L1 TPS ≥1%	
• No indi	ation for EGFR-, ALK-, or ROS1-directed therapy as prima therapy for metastatic disease	ary treatmen
Arm 4: N	(-1084 25-200 mg/d PO ^a + Pembrolizumab ^a + Chemoth	erapy ^c
Metasta		

Arm 5: MK-1084 25-200 mg/d PO^a + Cetuximab^e

Locally advanced unresectable or metastatic CRC
 1 or 2 prior line(s) of systemic therapy for advanced disea

Arms 1 and 3: MK-1084 Monotherapy 25-800 mg/d PO*

Locally advanced unresectable or metastatic solid tumor

Arm 6: MK-1084 25-100 mg/d PO^a + Cetuximab^e + mFOLFOX6^f

- Locally advanced unresectable or metastatic CRC
- 0 or 1 prior line(s) of systemic therapy for advanced disease

Figure 4. Antitumor activity assessed per RECIST v1.1 by investigator review in participants with NSCLC (efficacy population)

Arms 1 + 3: MK-1084 monotherapy (previously treated NSCLC only)



ung cance

research

Arm 2: MK-1084 + pembrolizumab (1L NSCLC with TPS ≥1%)



Arm 4: MK-1084 + pembrolizumab + chemotherapy (1L NSCLC)



KRASG12D

Abstract. GFH 375 Phase I and II

 GFH375 is a highly selective and potent KRAS G12D inhibitor targeting both the "ON" (GTP-bound) and "OFF" (GDP-bound) states.







KRASG12D

Abstract. GFH 375 Phase I and II

 GFH375 is a highly selective and potent KRAS G12D inhibitor targeting both the "ON" (GTP-bound) and "OFF" (GDP-bound) states.



Phase I: Dose escalation and expansion	Phase II: Indication expansion
900mg QD 750mg QD	Cohort 1: NSCLC
600mg QD 300mg BID RP2D	Cohort 2: PDAC





KRASG12D

Abstract. GFH 375 Phase I and II

 GFH375 is a highly selective and potent KRAS G12D inhibitor targeting both the "ON" (GTP-bound) and "OFF" (GDP-bound) states.



Phase I: Dose escalation and expansion	Phase II: Indication expansion
900mg QD 750mg QD	Cohort 1: NSCLC
600mg QD 300mg BID RP2D	Cohort 2: PDAC

Efficacy in NSCLC and PDAC Patients at Target Dose Range

• 12 NSCLC and 23 PDAC patients treated at 400 mg QD, 600 mg QD or 300 mg BID had tumor response assessed.*





Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3



- iza-bren (izalontamab brengitecan) is a potential first-in-class ADC comprised of an EGFR x HER3 bispecific antibody conjugated to a novel topo-I inhibitor payload (Ed-04) via a stable tetrapeptide-based cleavable linker.
- In the previous phase I trial, iza-bren showed promising antitumor activity in EGFRmut NSCLC patients (63.2% ORR)[†].



The safety and preliminary efficacy of iza-bren in NSCLC patients with **driver genomic alterations outside of classic EGFR mutations** was evaluated in this phase lb study.



Ge

research

Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3



- iza-bren (izalontamab brengitecan) is a potential first-in-class ADC comprised of an EGFR x HER3 bispecific antibody conjugated to a novel topo-I inhibitor payload (Ed-04) via a stable tetrapeptide-based cleavable linker.
- In the previous phase I trial, iza-bren showed promising antitumor activity in EGFRmut NSCLC patients (63.2% ORR)[†].



The safety and preliminary efficacy of iza-bren in NSCLC patients with driver genomic alterations outside of classic EGFR mutations was evaluated in this phase lb study.







Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3





83 NSCLC pts with driver genomic alterations outside of classic EGFR mut enrolled EGFR mut exon20ins/non-classical ALK/ROS1/RET/NTRK fusion KRAS/BRAF/MET mut HER2 mut (N = 19) (N = 24) (N = 26) 39 Treatment ongoing 44 Treatment discontinued Analyzed 35 Progressive disease 83 safety analysis set 3 Adverse event 78 efficacy analysis set 4 Patient withdrawal 2 Other reasons*



Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3



Ge

lung cance research

Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3







Ge

lung canc research

Rapid oral Abstract 3001 . Phase I Iza- bren (BL01D1) ADC EGFRxHER3



81.3% of patients with tumor shrinkage and the median (range) shrinkage (%) was -29.2 (-70.1, 24.9).







Abstract. Izabren BL01D1







ALK/ROS1/RET fusion





ALK/ROS1/RET fusion

ALK Fusion RET Fusion ROS1 Fusion

20% Tumor Growth





KRAS/BRAF/MET mut









Mónica Antoñanzas Basa

Hospital Clínico San Carlos



